

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 ORLANDO GUZMAN,

Case No. 3:25-cv-00008-MMD-CLB

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 v.

9 WASHOE COUNTY,

10 Defendants.

11 Pro se Plaintiff Orlando Guzman attempts to sue Washoe County because the
12 presiding judge scheduled a status conference in his state criminal case before the
13 preliminary hearing he alleges he is entitled to under Nevada state law. (ECF No. 1-1.)
14 Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate
15 Judge Carla Baldwin (ECF No. 3), recommending the Court deny Guzman’s application
16 to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP Application”) as moot after dismissing his Complaint
17 under the *Younger*¹ abstention doctrine. To date, no objections to the R&R have been
18 filed. For this reason, and as further explained below, the Court will adopt the R&R in full.

19 Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct de novo review, and is
20 satisfied that Judge Baldwin did not clearly err. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328
21 F.3d 1114, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003) (“De novo review of the magistrate judges’ findings and
22 recommendations is required if, but *only* if, one or both parties file objections to the
23 findings and recommendations.” (emphasis in original)). Judge Baldwin explains that
24 Guzman appears to ask the Court to intervene in his ongoing state criminal case in his
25 Complaint. (ECF No. 3 at 3-4.) Judge Baldwin accordingly analyzes the *Younger*
26 abstention factors and concludes they weigh in favor of abstention in this case. (*Id.* at 4.)
27 Having reviewed the R&R, Judge Baldwin did not clearly err.

28 ¹ *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37 (1971).

1 It is therefore ordered that Judge Baldwin's Report and Recommendation (ECF
2 No. 3) is accepted and adopted in full.

3 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is dismissed, in its
4 entirety, without prejudice but without leave to amend.

5 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's IFP application (ECF No. 1) is denied as moot.

6 The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

7 DATED THIS 10th Day of February 2025.

8
9 

10

MIRANDA M. DU
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28